

PATENT

Our Docket: P-LG 4412

STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In Re Application of:
Padgett et al.

Serial No.:

09/775,049

Filed:

January 31, 2001

For: METHODS FOR HOMOLOGY-DRIVEN

REASSEMBLY OF NUCLEIC ACID

SEQUENCES

Commissioner for Patents Washington, D.C. 20231

Examiner: F. Lu

Group Art Unit: 1634

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as first class mail in an envelope addressed to:

Commissioner for Patents, Washington, D.C. 20231,

on June 13, 2002.

June 13, 2002 Date of Signature

Sir:

RECEIVED

JUN 2 8 2002

## RESPONSE TO RESTRICTION REQUIREMENT

TECH CENTER 1600/2900

Responsive to the Restriction Requirement mailed February 13, 2002, entry of the amendment and consideration of the following remarks is respectfully requested. A response was originally due on March 13, 2002. Applicants submit that with the payment for an extension of time for three months, the present response is timely filed.

AMENDMENTS

Please cancel claims 27 to 31 and 33 to 95.

## REMARKS

The Office Action in **Section 2** has restricted the present application to four distinct and independent inventions. Provided below are the four inventions set forth in the Office Action.

Inventors:

Padgett et al.

Serial No.:

09/775,049

Filed: Page 2

January 31, 2001

Group I:

Claims 1 to 26 and 32, directed to a

method of forcing recombination between

polynucleotides, classified in class

435, subclass 91.1;

Group II:

Claims 27 to 52, directed to a method of

forcing recombination between

polynucleotides, classified in class

435, subclass 91.1;

Group III:

Claims 53 to 81, directed to a method of

forcing recombination between

polynucleotides, classified in class

435, subclass 91.1; and

JUN 2 8 2002

TECH CENTER 1600/2900

RECEIVED

Group IV:

Claims 82-95, drawn to a method of

forcing recombination between

polynucleotides, classified in class

435, subclass 91.1.

Election of one of the inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. § 121. Applicants elect the claims set forth in Group I, claims 1 to 26 and 32, for examination. Claims 27 to 31 and 33 to 95 are cancelled herein without prejudice to Applicants pursuing prosecution of the cancelled subject matter in a subsequent application claiming the benefit of priority of the present application.

Inventors:

Padgett et al.

Serial No.:

09/775,049

Filed:

January 31, 2001

Page 3

In **Section 6**, the Restriction Requirement indicates that if the claims of Group I are elected for examination, a single species selected from the following:

- (1) polynucleotides only (claims 2 to 8, 11, 12, 15 to 21, 24, 25, and 32);
- (2) functional property assay (claims 9, 10, 22, and 23); and
- (3) adding clamp (claims 13 and 26),

is required to be elected for examination. Applicants elect the species of polynucleotides only, as recited in claims 2 to 8, 11, 12, 15 to 21, 24, 25, and 32 for examination. As requested in the Restriction Requirement, it is submitted that the elected species are readable on claims 1 and 14.

Applicants respectfully note that **Section 5** appears to be a duplicate and erroneous section directed to the election of species. Applicants have responded to the requirements as laid out in the alternate **Section 6**.

Inventors:

Padgett et al.

Serial No.:

09/775,049

Filed:

January 31, 2001

Page 4

## CONCLUSION

Applicants have elected the claims set forth in Group I, claims 1 to 26 and 32, for examination. Applicants further elect from Group I the species of claims 2 to 8, 11, 12, 15 to 21, 24, 25, and 32, for examination. The Examiner is invited to call Cathryn Campbell or the undersigned attorney if there are any questions.

## **RECEIVED**

Respectfully submitted,

JUN 2 8 2002

**TECH CENTER 1600/2900** 

June 13, 2002

Date

James J. Wong

Registration No. 94,949

Telephone No. (858) 535-9001 Facsimile No. (858) 535-8949

CAMPBELL & FLORES LLP 4370 La Jolla Village Drive 7<sup>th</sup> Floor San Diego, California 92122 USPTO CUSTOMER NO. 23601